Applic	ation	No.:	
71			The North Association of the second

## NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

Applicant must file the items indicated below within the time period set the Office action to which the Notice is attached to avoid abandonment under 35 U.S.C. § 133 (extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)).

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):

TONOTHINE	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
O 1 P E C 2	1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to the final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18230 (May 1, 1990), and 1114 OG 29 (May 15, 1990). If the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the final rulemaking notice published at 63 FR 29620 (June 1, 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).
TO TRADEMARY OF T	2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
	3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
[	4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."
[	5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
	6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
	7. Other: New generic claim! lacks appropriate reference to a Seg ID No. In addition the specification and Claims refere to improper segrence denlifiers and should be amended Applicant Must Provide: to accurately reflect all seguences by the
	An initial or <u>substitute</u> computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing" appropriate (CRF) to be a fine to the sequence Listing of the "Sequence Listing" appropriate to the sequence Listing of the "Sequence Listing" appropriate to the sequence Listing of the "Sequence Listing" appropriate to the sequence Listing of the "Sequence Listing" appropriate to the sequence Listing of the "Sequence Listing" appropriate to the sequence Listing of the "Sequence Listing" appropriate to the sequence Listing of the "Sequence Listing" appropriate to the sequence Listing of the
	An initial or <u>substitute</u> paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification.
	A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).
	For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:
	For Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216 For CRF Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212 Patentin Software Program Support

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR REPLY

Du attacled interview Sommery

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/647,457	SHINITZKY ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharon L. Turner	1647				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):					
(1) Sharon L. Turner.	(3)	•	•			
(2) <u>Lee Heiman</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 03 December 2003.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's representative	<b>e</b> ]	•			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			•			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's have chosen to amend their specification and claims with reference to a new generic SEQ ID NO. Claim 1 lacks appropriate reference to the SEQ ID NO. (SEQ ID NO.1). In addition the specification and claims apparently require amendment so as to incorporate the changes within the sequence listing. For example, previous SEQ ID NO.1 now appears to be SEQ ID NO.2, etc. Correction is required such that all sequences are referred to by their appropriate SEQ ID NO. In addition, the Examiner notes that the new generic seugence in claim 1, (SEQ ID NO.1) apparently lacks the addition of the second "X" after "X3" as represented in the claim. Further Applicants are cautioned that the representation of the sequences within SEQ ID NO.1 appears to newly represent species where the sequence includes "PP" or "AA" where such support is not apparent from the specification. Applicants may wish to provide support to the new generic sequence and/or to provide separate generic sequences where the "A" and "P" are fixed to either "AP" or "PA". The Examiner may consider the search together of such properly generic sequences within the generic claim.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse section.	e last Office action has already R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS DF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed. APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Image

M.S. NON-FEE AMENDMENT
Attorney Docket No. 24390

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

SHINITZKY et al.

Serial No.: 09/647,457

Art Unit: 1647

Filed:

November 29, 2000

Examiner: S. Turner

For:

ASSAY FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF SCHIZOPHRENIA BASED ON A NEW

PEPTIDE

## TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:

- (1) Transmittal Letter;
- (2) Response and Amendment; and
- (3) Copy of Notice to Comply with Sequence Requirements.

The Commissioner is hereby specifically authorized to charge any required fee, or credit any overpayment, in connection with this matter to deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

Date: January 9, 2004 By:

Gary M. Nath

Reg. No. 26,965

Todd L. Juneau

Reg. No. 40,669

Lee C. Heiman

Reg. No. 41,827

Customer No. 20529

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

1030 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005

Tel: (202)-775-8383 Fax: (202)-775-8396

GMN:TLJ:LCH ROA.tl.wpd